

**PLANNING COMMITTEE
12 FEBRUARY 2018**

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence received and matters arising following preparation of the Agenda

Item 5 : Pages 5-20

Application Ref: 17/1871/FUL

Land at Tesco Stores, Russell Way

Councillors will be asked to defer this application to a later date in view of the fact that the applicant has failed to undertake a Stage 1 Safety Audit which means the Local Highway Authority cannot currently comment on the application.

Item 6 : Pages 21-44

Application Ref: 17/1148/FUL

Land at Clyst Road, Topsham

Minor amendments to conditions listed in main report as follows:-

Condition No.

- 2) Delete word 'access' as this is not a 'reserved matter'
- 3) insert missing drawing no. '4179-501 Rev C'
- 4) insert missing drawing no. '4179-501 Rev C'
- 5) Replace "in the vicinity of" with "within 20 metres of"
- 6) Delete word "all"

LATE AMENDMENT:

(This amendment was not on the document circulated on 9/2/2018)

The reference to condition 5 is actually meant to be condition 11, and the reference to condition 6 is meant to apply to condition 16.

Item 7 : Pages 45-52

Application Ref: 17/0916/FUL

Land at Old Vicarage Road

Nothing further to report.

Item 8 : Pages 53-60

Application Ref: 17/0308/FUL

Exeter Golf & Country Club, Topsham Road

Since the main report was prepared the applicant has confirmed that whilst consent is being sought for 20m high netting and supports it is only the Golf club's intention to initially erect the netting and supports to a height of 10 metres. They have indicated this will allow them to monitor the effectiveness of the 10m netting and the works already carried out to the tee position etc. in terms of addressing the problem of stray balls, and that it would only be their intention to then take the netting up to 20 metres if there is a continuing health and safety issue. Whilst this is useful for Members to know as background regarding the Golf Club's intention, Members are reminded that consent is being sought for 20 metre high netting and

that in the event permission is granted were the Golf Club to only implement a 10 metre high netting initially no further permission would be required should the Golf Club then decide implement the full 20 metre height.

A further representation has been received from Mr Forrow (a resident of Holland Park) raising the following matters :-

- Disputes the officer conclusions regarding the degree of visual and amenity impact arising from the proposed netting, and the number of properties affected.
- Suggests that the role existing trees play in screening the visual impact of the netting should be disregarded given doubts about their long term future based on their health/age and the intentions of the Golf Club with respect to their retention.
- Suggest misleading statements were made regarding the need for netting to prevent stray golf balls leaving the course when the application for development of the practice range was considered (17/0006/03).
- Queries the technical justification for, and hence efficacy of and need for, the proposed netting stating
 - 50% of the proposed netting is redundant
 - Relative height of netting to tee such that balls hit towards it would go over it
 - Netting proposed would fail to adequately protect users of the access road.
- Alterations to 9th tee position seem to have significantly reduced incidence of stray golf balls, although suggest some players are still using the old 9th tee position rather than the new one.

This additional representation has been brought to the attention of the applicant for a response regarding the justification and need for the proposed netting and the outcome of this will be reported at Planning Committee.

Item 9 : Pages 61-68
Application Ref: 17/1126/FUL
16A Monmouth Street, Topsham

Nothing further to report.